I am a user on the Upper Mary System.

CUSTOMER COUNCIL GROUPS

- Once the allocation in the Mary and Pie Ck schemes is less than 100% it would be of major benefit to have a customer type council to share ideas between users and Seqwater to get better outcomes of water use for both Seqwater and irrigators in the overall management of the scheme.

PART A & B CHARGES

- Part A and B charges are not necessary if the Part B charge is only going to be a small percentage of the total charges. We only need a Part A charge and if sleepers and dozers find it too costly to own allocation. It then will get sold to users to produce crops and therefore be much better for the overall economy and the system.

- Reading of water meters would only be required once a year at the end of the usage year to prevent over use of the allocation resulting in cost savings for Seqwater which will translate to lower allocation charges.

RECREATION

- The cost of recreation at storages and along systems should not be part of the allocation charge and should not be included in these costs. They are a distinct burden on irrigators. If this is to be the case all systems should be locked up and refuse entry by the community saving costs on boat ramps, signage and buoys, toilets, shelter sheds, power and lighting patrols, mowing etc.

Gary Rozynski