

**From:** [REDACTED] Gary Rozynski [REDACTED]  
**Sent:** Sunday, 17 February 2013 3:34 PM  
**To:** Water (External)  
**Subject:** mary submission

I am pleased with your(QCA) enquiry into efficiencies of the state's water I believe you have made significant progress for which I thank you.

I'm am putting this submission on three points

- The first point may be in government policy that maintenance of recreational facilities at dams be added on to the cost of water to high and medium water users, this is a blatant misuse of government power this is a very serious issue as it is not used by irrigators in the running of their business, I request that this be included in discussions with government and that that this cost be removed from government policy
- The customer councils that have been very successful over the years are not required in years of full allocation from the system as they are just another cost burden on the system, however I recommend that years of less than 90% allocation from the scheme that we then require a regular meeting customer council type discussion group with SEQ water, possibly appointed from interested water users to put ideas back and forth with SEQ water to get the most efficient use of remaining water from the scheme
- Efficiency of SEQ water, I believe they still have a long way to go in this area. Our water meters were upgraded to what we were told was the current standard about five years ago, I received a phone call on Tuesday the 12<sup>th</sup> of February 2013 to say that SEQ water would be bringing contractors around to look at the upgrading of our water meters, I queried this as they were done previously and was told that they were due to be done again, they arrived at our sites at Yabba creek 10.30 on Wednesday 13<sup>th</sup> February 2013 bringing three utes with a total of four people, This does not appear efficient to me.(I provided real times and dates so diaries and work logs can be checked)

Water user  
Gary Rozynski  
Ph [REDACTED]