

Submission to Queensland Competition Authority

Draft Determination – Solar Feed-in Tariff 2026–27

Dear Sir/Madam,

- 1 rooftop solar generation
- 2 battery storage
- 3 low grid import
- 4 high solar export

I am a residential electricity customer in Queensland with a rooftop solar system and home battery. I would like to provide a practical user perspective on the proposed reduction in the solar feed-in tariff.

- 1 grid import is approximately 40–60 kWh per month
- 2 solar export is approximately 500–700 kWh per month

My household represents a growing segment of distributed energy users:

- 1 the value of exported solar energy (low and decreasing)
- 2 the cost of imported electricity (high and often time-dependent)

Based on my actual usage:

- 1 Exported energy has very low financial value
- 2 Imported energy remains significantly more expensive
- 3 Households are strongly incentivised to maximise self-consumption
- 4 However, during extended periods of low solar generation, households are exposed to high electricity costs

From this perspective, I would like to highlight a broader system issue beyond the feed-in tariff level itself.

- 1 the role of distributed energy resources in supporting the grid
- 2 the investment made by households in solar and battery systems
- 3 the need for cost stability, not just average cost efficiency

While the proposed reduction in feed-in tariff (from ~8.66 c/kWh to ~6.15 c/kWh) reflects market conditions, it further widens the gap between:

- 1 incentives for exporting energy (low value)
- 2 risks associated with importing energy during low-generation periods (high cost exposure)

- 1 discouraging export entirely
- 2 increasing reliance on behind-the-meter storage only
- 3 reduced engagement with the grid as a shared resource

In practice, this creates the following outcome:

- 1 better balance export value and import cost exposure
- 2 support households in managing variability due to weather
- 3 recognise the system benefits of distributed solar and storage

This leads to an important observation:

On-grid households with solar and battery systems can experience cost behaviour similar to off-grid systems during low-generation periods, while still paying daily network charges and relying on the grid.

From a consumer perspective, this reduces the perceived value of participating in distributed energy generation and storage.

I understand that feed-in tariffs should reflect wholesale market conditions. However, I believe the current and proposed structure does not fully account for:

In particular, there is a growing mismatch between:

This may lead to unintended behavioural outcomes, such as:

I would encourage consideration of mechanisms that:

In conclusion, the issue is not solely the level of the feed-in tariff, but the overall interaction between tariff structures, solar generation, battery storage, and consumer risk.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide input.

Kind regards,

Krystian Litwin